

Patent case: Raytheon Co. v. Indigo Systems Corp., USA

Kluwer Patent Blog
July 26, 2018

Joseph Arshawsky

Please refer to this post as: Joseph Arshawsky, "Patent case: Raytheon Co. v. Indigo Systems Corp., USA", Kluwer Patent Blog, July 26, 2018, <http://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2018/07/26/usa-raytheon-co-v-indigo-systems-corp-united-states-court-app-eals-federal-circuit-no-16-1945-12-july-2018/>

Substantial evidence supported a jury's finding that Raytheon Company failed to show that infrared imaging equipment manufacturers Indigo Systems and FLIR Systems Incorporated (collectively, "Indigo") misappropriated trade secrets relating to sequential vacuum baking procedure and in situ solder sealing package assemblies, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has ruled, because a reasonable jury could have found that Indigo employees independently developed the trade secrets rather than misappropriated them by improper means in violation of the the California Uniform Trade Secret Act. The court also affirmed the lower court's denial of attorney fees to Indigo under the Texas Theft Liability Act, because dismissal of the claims in favor of proceeding under California law was without prejudice and was not to avoid an adverse judicial ruling (Raytheon Co. v. Indigo Systems Corp., July 12, 2018, Chen, R.).

Case date: 12 July 2018

Case number: No. 16-1945

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)