

Edwards Lifesciences v Boston Scientific, Court of Appeal of England and Wales, Civil Division, [2018] EWCA Civ 673, 28 March 2018

Kluwer Patent Blog

July 2, 2018

Sara Moran

Please refer to this post as: Sara Moran, 'Edwards Lifesciences v Boston Scientific, Court of Appeal of England and Wales, Civil Division, [2018] EWCA Civ 673, 28 March 2018', Kluwer Patent Blog, July 2 2018, <http://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2018/07/02/edwards-lifesciences-v-boston-scientific-court-appeal-england-wales-civil-division-2018-ewca-civ-673-28-march-2018/>

In a case concerning two divisional patents derived from the same parent application, relating to 'transcatheter heart valves' or THVs, the Court of Appeal upheld the High Court's decision that one patent was invalid for lack of inventive step and the second patent was valid. The Court of Appeal also provided guidance on cross-examination of expert witnesses, in particular best practice in the situation where a party chooses not to cross-examine a witness.

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).