

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Patent case: Huang v. Amazon.com, Inc, USA

Kevin M. Finson (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Wednesday, February 19th, 2025

It was within the discretion of the trial court to deny leave to amend a complaint because the proposed amendment, like the complaint it was intended to cure, failed to specifically identify the accused products.

An inventor failed to show any error in the trial court's refusal to consider his Third Amended Complaint asserting patent infringement claims against Amazon.com, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held. This complaint, like the ones prior to it, did not specifically identify the accused products (Huang v. Amazon.com, Inc., No. 24-1428 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 28, 2025)).

Case date: 28 January 2025

Case number No. 24-1428

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

2024 Future Ready Lawyer Survey Report

# Legal innovation: Seizing the future or falling behind?

[Download your free copy →](#)

 Wolters Kluwer



This entry was posted on Wednesday, February 19th, 2025 at 10:09 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. You can leave a response, or [trackback](#) from your own site.