

Kluwer Patent Blog

Parliamentary committee asks UK government to clarify position on Unified Patent Court

Kluwer Patent blogger · Wednesday, March 11th, 2020

A parliamentary committee in the UK has asked the government to clarify its position on the Unified Patent Court (UPC).

Although late February the office of Prime Minister Boris Johnson confirmed to several media that the UK “will no longer seek to participate in the Unitary Patent project”, an official statement has not been issued.

Yesterday the EU Justice Sub-Committee of the House of Lords in the UK heard evidence from Julia Florence, past president of the UK [Chartered Institute of Patent Attorneys \(CIPA\)](#) and barrister Daniel Alexander QC to examine what impact this will have both on businesses and inventors in the UK and on the Court.



After the hearing, chairman Lord Morris of Aberavon of the Sub-Committee sent a [letter to IP minister Amanda Solloway](#) with a simple question: “Can you confirm recent media reports that the ‘UK will not be seeking involvement’ in the UPC and the associated unitary patent?”

Tuesday’s [hearing](#) made clear both Daniel Alexander and Julia Florence hope there will still be a way to keep the UK in the Unitary Patent project. Alexander said: “Is the government paying enough attention, do they understand it, I am convinced they can be made to understand it. I think there is an element of shallowness of thinking that says: ‘Look at this, the EU is involved, right, we strike this out.’ But a discussion of this with reasonable people, I think actually can make genuine progress. (...) I’m prepared to talk to anyone who will listen on this and actually try and not have sort of silly things become obstacles. (...) For kind of pointless things to stand in the way I think that’s just, at this stage in the country’s history, that’s not where we should be.”

German complaint

The UK’s announcement has reinvigorated the debate about changes to and the [feasibility of the Unitary Patent project](#) now that this important member state seems on its way to the exit. Last

week, the UPC Preparatory Committee announced it will not undertake any initiatives to deal with the UK's planned withdrawal until another crucial issue is clear: the outcome of the German constitutional complaint against the UPCA.

'Following the UK government's decision not to pursue remaining in the Unified Patent Court and in the Unitary Patent, work on the implementation of the Unified Patent Court continues. Once Germany will be in a position to ratify the UPC Agreement and the Protocol on the Provisional Application, arrangements will be made to deal with the practical implications of the UK's departure. These will be published in due course', a [message](#) from chairman Alexander Ramsay of the Preparatory Committee read.

The two chambers of German parliament had already ratified the UPCA, when a complaint against the agreement was filed by Düsseldorf lawyer Ingve Stjerna in March 2017 with the Federal Constitutional Court (FCC). Because of this, the Court asked German president Frank-Walter Steinmeier to delay the only remaining step to complete the ratification formalities (apart from the deposit of Germany's instrument of ratification with the EU Council): signing the UPC legislation into law.

The FCC ruling in the case is expected within the next few months and the PrepCom's decision to wait for it is a logical choice. If the complaint is (partially) upheld, this will lead to delays or could even mean the end of the UP system. If the complaint is dismissed, this could open the way for German ratification and the start of the Unitary Patent system later this year although, due to the UK's decision to withdraw, amendments will have to be made to the Agreement.

The expression 'be in a position to ratify' in Ramsey's message, however, raises the question whether he has taken into account possible political doubts in Germany about proceeding with the UP project without the UK.

In answer to questions of Parliament the German Justice Ministry [made clear last year](#) that the government would reconsider the UP project at some point: 'The issue of the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union (so-called Brexit) and its implications for European patent reform play an important role in the further implementation process of the Unified Patent Court Agreement. The real and legal implications of withdrawing must be examined with regard to the Agreement and agreed at European level. This opinion forming is currently not finalized, not least because significant factors of the expected exit are not yet known.' ([Drucksache 19/12106](#))

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and


tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, March 11th, 2020 at 10:34 pm and is filed under [Brexit](#), [European Union](#), [Germany](#), [Unitary Patent](#), [United Kingdom](#), [UPC](#)
You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.