

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Patent case: Napp Pharmaceutical Holdings Ltd v Dr Reddy's Laboratories Ltd, UK

Sara Moran · Tuesday, February 11th, 2020

The Court held that it had no jurisdiction to grant fortification of a cross-undertaking for damages where the injunction had been discharged. Further, the Court held that even if it had jurisdiction to do so, the evidence as to Napp's financial position did not justify fortification of the cross-undertaking.

Case date: 15 April 2019

Case number: EWHC 1009 (Pat)

Court: High Court of Justice of England and Wales, Chancery Division, Patents Court

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



This entry was posted on Tuesday, February 11th, 2020 at 10:40 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United Kingdom](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.