
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Omega Patents, LLC v. CalAmp Corp., USA

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Friday, April 19th, 2019

In a patent infringement suit brought by Omega Patents against CalAmp Corp. alleging infringement of Omega's U.S. Patent Nos. 6,346,876 ('876 patent), 6,756,885 ('885 patent), 7,671,727 ('727 patent), and 8,032,278 ('278 patent), the federal district court in Orlando's judgment of no invalidity of the asserted claims was affirmed, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has decided. However, multiple errors required reversal of findings of infringement with respect to each of the patents-in-suit, requiring remand for a new trial on these issues. In addition, the lower court's judgment was vacated and remanded for a new trial on its compensatory damages award, the jury's willfulness finding, and the court's enhanced damages and attorney fees award (Omega Patents, LLC v. CalAmp Corp., April 8, 2019, Dyk, T.).

Case date: 08 April 2019

Case number: No. 2018-1309

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, April 19th, 2019 at 3:09 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Infringement](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.