
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Heizkessel, Germany

Thorsten Bausch (Hoffmann Eitle) · Friday, March 22nd, 2019

A nullity action is still admissible after expiration of the patent if it provides the nullity plaintiff, who had been unsuccessful as defendant in infringement proceedings, the possibility of bringing an action for restitution against his conviction and if the nullity plaintiff declares that he intends to make use of this possibility (xref. X ZR 17/02).

There may already be a motivation to resort to a technical solution that can be considered part of the general knowledge of the person skilled in the art where there is no concrete precedent for the use of this solution, but if and when the use of its functionality in the relevant context is objectively expedient and no special circumstances can be ascertained which make an application appear impossible, associated with difficulties or otherwise inconvenient (xref. X ZR 139/10 and X ZR 109/15). These conditions are not met in this case.

Case date: 14 August 2018

Case number: X ZR 92/16

Court: Federal Court of Justice of Germany

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, March 22nd, 2019 at 4:44 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Germany](#), [Infringement](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.