
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: E-Ink, Germany

Roland Schieren (Hoffmann Eitle) · Monday, January 28th, 2019

This decision by the FCJ confirms that, when assessing the disclosure of a prior art document, it is not permissible to supplement the disclosure with specialist knowledge of a person skilled in the art.

The FCJ also confirmed that prior art documents filed during appeal proceedings are to be admitted into the procedure if the Patent Court in its hint issued in accordance with sec. 83 para. 1 German Patent Act indicated that the Plaintiff's reasoning on a particular point was deemed to be correct.

Case date: 12 January 2017

Case number: X ZR 20/15

Court: Federal Court of Justice of Germany

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Monday, January 28th, 2019 at 2:27 pm and is filed under [Case Law, Germany](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.