

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Patent case: Jushi Group Co., Ltd v OCV Intellectual Capital, LLC, United Kingdom

Sara Moran · Wednesday, January 23rd, 2019

The Court of Appeal upheld a decision of the Intellectual Property Enterprise Court that the patent in suit was novel and inventive over the prior art. In construing the numerical ranges of the patent, the Court of Appeal pointed out that the purpose of a comparative example is that identifies something outside the claimed invention for the purposes of comparing it with something inside it. The obvious conclusion that the skilled person would draw is that the patentee's ranges were exact, and not intended to be broadened by whole number rounding.

Case date: 19 June 2018

Case number: [2018] EWCA Civ 1416

Court: Court of Appeal of England and Wales, Civil Division

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, January 23rd, 2019 at 1:28 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United Kingdom](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.