
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Icescape Limited v Ice-World International BV & Ors, United Kingdom

Sara Moran · Saturday, December 29th, 2018

Applying the so-called ‘Actavis Questions’ (further to the Supreme Court decision in *Actavis v Eli Lilly*), the Court of Appeal reached a different conclusion from the Patents Court on the issue of infringement. However, as the Court of Appeal upheld the first instance Court’s decision that the patent was invalid, this ultimately did not change the effect of the first instance judgment.

Case date: 10 October 2018

Case number: [2018] EWCA Civ 2219

Court: Court of Appeal of England and Wales, Civil Division

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Saturday, December 29th, 2018 at 3:30 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Infringement](#), [United Kingdom](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.