
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Sprint Communications Co., L.P. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., USA

Brian Craig (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Sunday, December 16th, 2018

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has affirmed a \$139.8 million jury verdict in favor of Sprint Communications against Time Warner for infringement of five Sprint patents related to VoIP technology. The appeals court concluded that the district properly admitted evidence relating to the jury verdict in an earlier, related case brought by Sprint against Vonage, another carrier offering VoIP service. Time Warner failed to show that the evidence presented at trial was insufficient to support the jury's damage award and verdict. Circuit Judge Haldane Robert Mayer wrote a dissenting opinion arguing that the patents asserted by Sprint are invalid as a matter of law (*Sprint Communications Co., L.P. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc.*, November 30, 2018, Bryson, W.).

Case date: 30 November 2018

Case number: No. 2017-2247

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Sunday, December 16th, 2018 at 9:12 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Infringement](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.