
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Arista Networks, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., USA

George Basharis (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Wednesday, November 14th, 2018

The assignor of a patented network security logging device that “broadcast” network security threats was not estopped from initiating an inter partes review of the patent, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has determined. However, the court also ruled that the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, in invalidated some of the patent’s claims, rendered an interpretation of the term “broadcast” that was overly broad, and the court therefore reversed that decision and remanded the case (Arista Networks, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc., November 9, 2018, Prost, S.).

Case date: 09 November 2018

Case number: No. 2017-1525

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, November 14th, 2018 at 12:30 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.