## **Kluwer Patent Blog**

## Patent case: Actavis UK Limited v Eli Lilly, United Kingdom

Sara Moran · Tuesday, July 3rd, 2018

The Supreme Court concluded that it was appropriate for it to reformulate the so-called Improver (or 'Protocol') questions, which provide guidance as to whether a variant is immaterial where there is no literal infringement. The significant change is the introduction of hindsight into the determination of the second question: "Would it be obvious to the person skilled in the art, reading the patent at the priority date, but knowing that the variant achieves substantially the same result as the invention, that it does so in substantially the same way as the invention?"

Case date: 12 July 2017 Case number: [2017] UKSC 48 Court: Supreme Court of the United Kingdom

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

## **Kluwer IP Law**

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.** The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change

This entry was posted on Tuesday, July 3rd, 2018 at 7:21 pm and is filed under Case Law, Pharmaceutical patent, United Kingdom

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.