
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Ericsson Incorporated v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC, USA

Cheryl Beise (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, June 5th, 2018

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board's conclusion following inter partes review that a cellular communications patent directed to frequency hopping owned by Intellectual Ventures I LLC was invalid and anticipated was not supported by substantial evidence, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit had held. Among other things, the Board erred in invalidating independent claim 1 based on irrelevant disclosures in the specification. The Board's decision as to claim 1 was reversed, and its invalidation of the remaining dependent claims was vacated and remanded. In a dissenting opinion, Circuit Judge Wallach opined that the majority improperly substituted its own factual findings for those of the Board (*Ericsson Incorporated v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC*, May 29, 2018, Newman, P.).

Case date: 29 May 2018

Case number: No. 2016-1671

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

2024 Future Ready Lawyer Survey Report

Legal innovation: Seizing the future or falling behind?

[Download your free copy →](#)

 Wolters Kluwer



This entry was posted on Tuesday, June 5th, 2018 at 11:23 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\) feed](#). Both comments and pings are currently closed.