
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Ruling No. 159/2017, Spain

Adrian Crespo (Clifford Chance) · Wednesday, May 23rd, 2018

In preliminary injunction proceedings, the influential Barcelona Court of Appeal held that reasons of “congruence” bind the court to basing the assessment of inventive step strictly on the particular prior art document chosen as the closest prior art by the party challenging its validity, regardless of whether that choice is technically and objectively justified. This could kick start a worrisome trend in Spanish revocation proceedings that is deeply inimical to the proper application of the problem-solution approach. The Court also made findings on the impact of decisions of the Opposition Division on Spanish injunctions.

Case date: 27 December 2017

Case number: AUTO N° 159/2017

Court: Court of Appeal of Barcelona

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, May 23rd, 2018 at 4:17 pm and is filed under [Case Law, Spain](#). You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.