

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## USA: B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C&D Zodiac, Inc, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2016-1496, 03 October 2017

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Wednesday, October 25th, 2017

In a dispute between patent holder B/E Aerospace and C&D Zodiac that was instituted by C&D's petition for inter partes review of B/E's patent for saving space in airplanes, the Patent trial and Appeal Board did not err in concluding that claims 1, 3–7, 9, 10, 12–14, 16–19, 21, 22, 24–29, 31, and 33–37 of the patent would have been obvious, and that claims 8, 20, 30, and 38 were patentable, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has determined. The determinations of the Board were all supported by substantial evidence (B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. C&D Zodiac, Inc., October 3, 2017, Stoll, K.).

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



This entry was posted on Wednesday, October 25th, 2017 at 2:15 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.