
Kluwer Patent Blog

USA: Ignite USA LLC v. CamelBak Products LLC, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2016-2747, 12 October 2017

Cheryl Beise (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Monday, October 23rd, 2017

Following inter partes review of several claims of a patent directed to a trigger/seal mechanism for a beverage container, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board properly applied the broadest reasonable in construing a connection limitation disclosed in the challenged claims, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has decided. The Board's construction was supported by the claims, the specification, and the prosecution history. The PTAB also did not err in finding one claim obvious over a prior art reference (Ignite USA LLC v. CamelBak Products LLC, October 12, 2017, Wallach, E.).

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Monday, October 23rd, 2017 at 1:42 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.