

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Is the “essentiality” test here to stay?

Miquel Montaña (Clifford Chance) · Monday, May 15th, 2017

Most readers will be aware of the so-called “all elements” test, whereby patent infringement is normally discarded unless the allegedly infringing device or process reproduces each and every element of the claim.

The “all elements” test contrasts with the so-called “essentiality” test. According to this test, there can still be infringement if an element of the claim is missing in the allegedly infringing device or process, as long as that precise element is not “essential” to put the invention into practice. For example, the German Supreme Court found in the “Air-Breathing Hose” judgment that the absence of an element would only exclude infringement if the patent owner had described such element as an essential feature of the invention. A decision of 30 December 2016 from Commercial Court number 1 of Barcelona has sparked the debate regarding whether the “essentiality” test is also making inroads in Spain. In this recent case, the Court ordered a preliminary injunction against a Spanish company that was marketing a device to spray liquids. According to the Court, the fact that one of the elements of the claim was missing did not rule out direct patent infringement. In particular, the Court wrote that «In relation to the characteristic (1c) “and a spray nozzle (4) for dispensing fluid from within the liner 13”, the BPS system as such does not include the spray nozzle which, we agree with the applicant, does not exclude a case of direct patent infringement insofar as the BPS system reproduces the essential elements of the independent claim of the same (doctrine of the Vileda case of the Barcelona Court of Appeal).»

If the criterion expressed in this decision is confirmed by the Barcelona Court of Appeal and / or by the Supreme Court in the main proceedings, it could mean that the “essentiality” test is here to stay.

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of

legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?


Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Monday, May 15th, 2017 at 7:09 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [SEP](#), [Spain](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.