
Kluwer Patent Blog

EPO: T1921/12, European Patent Office, Board of Appeal, ECLI:EP:BA:2016:T192112.20161026, 26 November 2016

Lars de Haas (V.O.) · Wednesday, May 3rd, 2017

A board of appeal of the European patent office held that a decision to reject an opposition with grounds based on an improperly corrected version of the patent as granted maintains the text used in the decision to grant, without the corrections. A decision of the examining division to correct the text after grant by replacing handwritten text with a “clean copy” does not affect this, and a decision signed by only one member of the examining division is not effective. The board rebuked the EPO for failure to include information in the public file to enable verification of who had signed the decision.

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, May 3rd, 2017 at 12:17 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [EPO](#), [EPO Decision](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.