

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## USA: Google Inc. v. SimpleAir, Inc, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2016-1901, 28 March 2017

Thomas Long (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Sunday, April 9th, 2017

In an inter partes review (IPR) proceeding challenging a SimpleAir patent that described a method of transmitting data to remote computing devices, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board did not err in concluding that IPR petitioner Google failed to establish that a combination of prior art references rendered the challenged claims unpatentably obvious, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held. Under the “broadest reasonable interpretation” (BRI) claim construction standard, the Board determined that Google’s cited prior art did not teach a crucial claim limitation—a “central broadcast server”—thereby precluding Google’s obviousness argument. Google waived its argument that the Board’s claim construction was incorrect because it failed to make this argument before the Board, and instead agreed with the Board’s BRI interpretation of “central broadcast server.” The court rejected Google’s contention that its cited prior art taught a “central broadcast server” even under the Board’s construction (Google Inc. v. SimpleAir, Inc., March 28, 2017, Clevenger, R.).

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Sunday, April 9th, 2017 at 7:50 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.