Kluwer Patent Blog

President Battistelli under pressure to improve 'unacceptable' social situation at EPO

Kluwer Patent blogger · Tuesday, March 21st, 2017

The Dutch Government has warned the social situation at the EPO will have to improve soon. The International Labour Organisation (ILO) has complained it is not acceptable that over half of the workload of its Tribunal is generated by complaints filed against the European Patent Office. Parliaments in Germany and France have called for action to 'uphold the fundamental rights' at the EPO. Pressure on president Benoit Battistelli to resign or finally change things seems higher than ever. Will it happen?

'The Council had an exchange of views on the social situation at the Office and on the issue of the appointment procedure for the next President.' Just one single phrase in the press release was dedicated to the ongoing social unrest at the EPO, after the 151th meeting of the EPO Administrative Council, 15 and 16 March 2017 in Munich.

It hardly reflects the mounting pressure that EPO president Benoit Battistelli has had to face over a wide range of issues that have led to a disastrous social climate at the EPO: the controversial introduction of a new career system and rules on sick leave, Battistelli's failure to review staff investigation guidelines and disciplinary procedures, as had been requested by the supervisory Administrative Council (AC) in a Resolution of March 2016; conflicts with the Boards of Appeal over their judicial independence; failure to recognize the SUEPO trade union and the dismissal or demotion of several union leaders, among others.



Last November, the EPO was overruled by the International Labour Organisation Administration Tribunal (ILO-AT) in two labour conflicts. It has consequences for hundreds of other cases which will now need to be reviewed as well.

In its judgment No. 3785 the ILO-AT ruled that an internal appeal handled by the EPO Appeals Committee was legally flawed, because of errors in the Committee's composition which had existed since October 2014. Case No. 3796 concerned the introduction of the new career system. According to the ILO-AT, a request for a review regarding the career system (of which hundreds have been filed) should not have been handled by the AC, but by the EPO president. 1

2

Apart from this, an exceptional ILO document was published last month on the 'difficulties caused by the number of complaints which are filed by officials against the European Patent Organisation (EPO) and which threaten the ability of the Administrative Tribunal of the ILO to serve all other organizations'. After describing how the 'public Judgments Nos 3785 and 3796 (...) identified some serious deficiencies both in the administrative review performed by the EPO Administrative Council and in the internal appeal system within the EPO', the document sets out that 'while in March 2016, 73 per cent of the total number of pending cases were complaints against the EPO, this percentage now stands at 54 per cent. However, the proportion of EPO-related complaints remains high compared to that of the other 60 organizations under the Tribunal's jurisdiction' and 'the level of litigation generated within the European Patent Office still represents a challenge for the smooth functioning of the Tribunal.' The document is being discussed at the ILO this month.

The Netherlands, host country to the EPO's Rijswijk branch, has also criticized Battistelli publicly. Although the Dutch Supreme Court ruled in January that the European Patent Office could invoke its immunity from jurisdiction of Dutch courts in a conflict with the unions, minister Bert Koenders of Foreign Affairs wrote a letter to parliament (English translation here) on 23 February stating: 'This immunity does not prevent the host country from entering into conversation with the management of the EPO regarding the continuing unrest between the management and staff at the EPO. (...) In this context, I informed Mr Minnoye [a vice-president of the EPO, ed.] that the internal unrest has been going on for too long and Minister of Foreign Affairs Bert that the situation now needs to improve quickly. In order to Koenders

make a rapid start to restoring trust between the management and staff, the proposal is to resume constructive social dialogue and to introduce a number of trust-building measures in the very short term (...):

*Including external parties in the internal complaint procedure, so that this procedure is experienced as impartial and independent by the staff;

*Reconsideration of the disciplinary measures implemented with respect to certain trade-union members. (...)

If there is no clear improvement in the industrial relations within the EPO in the short term, I see no other option but the discussion of the situation at a high political level with the member states of the European Patent Organisation', Koenders wrote.



Dutch Secretary of State Martijn van Dam of Economic Affairs showed the discontent of government in answers to parliamentary questions (English translation here), which were published on 2 March 2017. Two quotes:

'The question of whether there is a level of arbitrariness is impossible to answer without knowledge of individual cases. The government does believe, however, that for the creation a meaningful social dialogue, it is not conducive for a significant number of union leaders to be

involved in disciplinary investigations and procedures.'

'The Dutch representatives regularly discuss the situation at the EPO, with all those involved, including the management, other member states, stakeholders, staff members and their representatives and union leaders. This gives the impression of an organisation where the conflict model rules. Meaningful and good modernisation seems to be coupled with a restrictive management style. With all the respect that the government has for the former, it continues to appeal strongly to the management of the EPO on the latter.'

In Germany and France, concerns were voiced at a high level as well. Last month, representatives of the parliament in Bavaria, home to the EPO's Munich office, asked Bavarian, Federal and European leaders 'to press hard to uphold the fundamental rights' of the EPO personnel.



Richard Yung

In France, the government answered questions (English version here) of socialist senator Richard Yung last month, stating the 'objective and independent study, carried out by the company PriceWaterhouseCoopers, presented in October 2016, provided evidence of the deterioration of the social climate within the Office. This deterioration is damaging the image of the organization; it is also damaging, indirectly but certainly, the image of France abroad and within international organizations, despite the very favourable remuneration conditions for the staff in comparison with other international organizations.'

Also, the government: 'continues to be fully committed to reaffirming the concern of France in seeing an unacceptable social situation being normalised again. This normalisation is essential in creating conditions of greater efficiency by the EPO in the context of the introduction of the European Patent with unitary effect, which is aimed at allowing Europe to assert itself in the field of intellectual and industrial property in the world of innovation.'

Despite the harsh criticism, Battistelli has so far managed to gather enough support in the Administrative Council, with its representatives of 38 EPO member states, to stay on. It is hard from the outside to understand why this is. In any democratic country, we would know what was going on. But at the EPO there is no transparency or such a thing as parliamentary control. And as an international organisation which enjoys immunity and operates in the specialized patent sector, it has long escaped the attention of the mainstream press. In order to oust the president, a qualified 3/4 majority of the votes in the AC is necessary. Apparently, there are at least ten states which are satisfied with Battistelli's reforms of for instance the salary and pension system, and his measures to improve the performance of the Office; despite the severe problems in terms of workers' rights. It can also be a factor that more granted patents generate more income for EPO member states or their patent offices.

Battistelli has often pointed out his reforms are very successful. Earlier this month, the EPO published its 2016 Annual Report, which states: 'Due to effective measures to improve its productivity, the European Patent Office published 96.000 granted patents in 2016, which is 40% more than in 2015 and a new high mark in its history.' The results 'clearly show that the reforms

introduced at the EPO in the past five years are effective and reflect the needs of the European economy.'

But is this really what the economy needs, as the EPO claims? The German legal website JUVE recently published the results of a survey (English version here) among 186 technology companies worldwide, which revealed serious concerns about the functioning of the European Patent Office. 87 percent of the respondents said Battistelli is not doing a good job. Less than one third is happy with the reform of the Boards of Appeal. 54 percent wants Battistelli to step down and only 8 percent says he must stay. Also, there is growing concern about the effect of the EPO unrest on patent quality, according to the survey.

Still, Battistelli's term as president ends in July 2018 and though he is under high pressure to improve the social situation at the EPO, it is not likely he will leave sooner than that. The appointment procedure for the next president, mentioned in the EPO press release, was initiated and shortly discussed last week and the intention is to agree on a text for a vacancy notice in the next meeting in June, with the selection procedure for a successor possibly starting in October.

For regular updates, subscribe to this blog and the free Kluwer IP Law Newsletter.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change

This entry was posted on Tuesday, March 21st, 2017 at 7:07 pm and is filed under EPC, EPO, European Union

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.