Kluwer Patent Blog

Germany: Kreuzgestänge, Federal Court of Justice of Germany, X ZR 103/13, 2 June 2015

Jochen Buehling (Krieger Mes Graf & v. der Groeben) · Monday, October 24th, 2016

The Federal Court of Justice held that claim construction is the core task of a court dealing with infringement and that this must be done independently from the claim construction as used by the FCJ in a previous nullity decision about that same patent. The Court explicitly stated that there is no legal or factual binding effect of the earlier decision regarding claim construction.

Furthermore, the Court held that if the specification deals with several embodiments as examples for the invention, the terms used in the claim wording should be seen as covering all exemplary embodiments so that none of those embodiments should be excluded from the scope of protection unless there would then be a contradiction between the claims and the specification which could not be resolved.

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer



This entry was posted on Monday, October 24th, 2016 at 2:10 pm and is filed under Germany You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.