Kluwer Patent Blog

"Independent promises" – Australia's Full Federal Court provides further guidance on exclusive licences

John Collins, Sumer Dayal (Clayton Utz) · Friday, September 16th, 2016

On 9 September 2016, the Full Federal Court of Australia delivered its judgment in *Actavis Pty Ltd v Orion Corporation* [2016] FCAFC 121 (Actavis). The proceedings concerned the infringement/revocation of a patent for Stalevo, Novartis' 3-in-1 Parkinson's disease drug.

A related issue was whether Novartis Pharma AG (**Novartis**) was the exclusive licensee of Orion's 765932 patent and had the right to sue for infringement in these proceedings. The Full Court also considered whether Novartis Australia had standing under an exclusive sub-licence from Novartis.

The Full Court dismissed both the appeal against infringement and the challenge to Novartis' standing to sue. The challenge to Novartis Australia's standing was accepted.

Exclusive licences in Australia

Section 120(1) of the Patents Act provides that either the patentee or an exclusive licensee have standing to commence infringement proceedings under the Act.

Previously, the Full Court in *Bristol-Myers Squibb Company v Apotex Pty Ltd* [2015] FCAFC 2 (**BMS**) upheld Justice Yates' ruling at first instance that the grant of an 'exclusive licence' meant an exclusive licence to undertake all of the activities within the meaning of "exploit" as defined in the Patents Act. That is, there could be no reservation of rights on the part of the patentee, or any other person, to undertake any activity falling within the definition of "exploit". In that case, Otsuka had reserved the right to manufacture the product in Australia. Consequently, BMS was not an "exclusive licensee".

In the *Actavis* case, the applicants similarly argued that Orion's agreement to supply finished product, or active pharmaceutical ingredients, to Novartis meant that the arrangement did not constitute an exclusive licence.

However, the Full Court affirmed Justice Rares' decision at first instance, finding that the agreement between Orion and Novartis was an exclusive licence due to:

• the terms of the licence – as held at first instance, clause 1 of the agreement (the grant of an exclusive licence) and clause 2 (Novartis' agreement to purchase Stalevo products exclusively from Orion, or a party authorised by Orion, as consideration) were "independent promises". Clause 1 granted the exclusive licence while clause 2 determined how product was to be

1

supplied;

- context Orion and Novartis had purposefully entered into the licence to cure deficiencies in their previous agreement (identified in *BMS*) and to ensure that Novartis had the status of an exclusive licensee under the Act for infringement proceedings. The Full Court found this to be of "considerable significance"; and
- no temporal constraints in section 120(1) contrary to the applicants' submission, there was nothing in section 120(1) that required a licence to be of a specific duration, or be a licence that could not be terminated by an agreed event.

It is safe to say that Australian law is now very clear on this issue.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, September 16th, 2016 at 1:07 pm and is filed under Australia,

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

Pharma

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.