
Kluwer Patent Blog

USA: Polar Electro Oy v. Suunto Oy, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, No. 2015-1930, 20 July 2016

Mark Engstrom (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Friday, July 29th, 2016

A federal trial court erroneously concluded that patent defendant Suunto Oy lacked minimum contacts with the State of Delaware to support the assertion of specific personal jurisdiction in a patent infringement suit filed by Polar Electro Oy, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has ruled. The judgment of the district court was vacated and the case was remanded so the district court could determine whether personal jurisdiction would be reasonable and fair (Polar Electro Oy v. Suunto Oy, July 20, 2016, Lourie, A.).

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



This entry was posted on Friday, July 29th, 2016 at 7:26 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.