

Kluwer Patent Blog

USA: Prospectus Technology LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, Nos. 2015-1016, 26 May 2016

Peter Reap (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Thursday, June 2nd, 2016

The federal district court in Tyler, Texas, correctly construed the term “mountable” in a patent for a digital picture frame asserted by Prospectus Technology against the manufacturers and sellers of tablet computer devices as “having a feature for mounting,” the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has ruled (Prospectus Technology LLC v. Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., May 26, 2016, Reyna, J.). Based on that construction, the district court also did not err in granting summary judgment to the defendants and its judgment was affirmed.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



This entry was posted on Thursday, June 2nd, 2016 at 4:20 am and is filed under [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.