
Kluwer Patent Blog

USA: Honeywell International Inc. v. Nokia Corporation, United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit, Nos. 2012-1373, 11 September 2015

Thomas Long (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Thursday, September 17th, 2015

A district court's decision denying awards of attorney fees incurred by several mobile device and digital camera makers in successfully defending against patent infringement claims by Honeywell International Inc. has been vacated and remanded by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. The district court was directed to reconsider whether the case was "exceptional" under the new standard for 35 U.S.C. §285 recently set by the U.S. Supreme Court in the Highmark and Octane Fitness cases (Honeywell International Inc. v. Nokia Corporation, September 11, 2015, Prost, S.).

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Thursday, September 17th, 2015 at 7:57 am and is filed under [United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.