

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## The Netherlands: Econvert v. Voith – Acces to seized Evidence, Court of Appeal of Arnhem-Leeuwarden, 200.157.56001, 16 December 2014

Gerben Hartman (Brinkhof) · Thursday, March 5th, 2015

Direct access to seized documents, selected from a larger pool of previously seized evidence, is denied on the basis of Dutch procedural provisions relating to Directive 2004/48/EC, because the defendant has not been allowed prior review of the seized selection. The court only allows access to a limited selection obtained by sufficient specific key word search followed by visual inspection and orders the bailiff to first allow review of this selection by the defendant, and to provide subsequent access to the claimant after a term of two weeks.

A [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Thursday, March 5th, 2015 at 9:46 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Kluwer Patent Cases](#), [Netherlands](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.