
Kluwer Patent Blog

Take Five, Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof), 19 July 2012

Jochen Buehling (Krieger Mes Graf & v. der Groeben) · Sunday, July 14th, 2013

In an earlier decision (BGH M2Trade), the Court held that the termination of the main license agreement does not automatically lead to a termination of the sublicense. It remains in force when the main license is terminated for reasons such as a mutual agreement of the main licensor and the main licensee who is also the sub-licensor. The Court applied this principle in the present case in which the license was exclusive. According to the Court, the interests of the sub-licensee were to prevail over the interests of the main licensor.

Click [here](#) for the full text of this case.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.Kluweriplaw.com>

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change



This entry was posted on Sunday, July 14th, 2013 at 8:51 pm and is filed under [\(Compulsory\) license](#), [Case Law](#), [Germany](#), [Procedure](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.