
Kluwer Patent Blog

Delaval v. Boumatic Robotics, District Court The Hague (Rechtbank Den Haag), 07 December 2012

Peter Burgers (Brinkhof) · Thursday, May 2nd, 2013

The PI judge in the District Court of The Hague held that under certain circumstances, provisional cross-border jurisdiction can be derived from art. 31 Regulation (EC) 44/2001, which would require a “real connecting link” between the sought measures and the jurisdiction of a contracting state (ECJ C-391/95, Van Uden/Decoline). However, in the present case there are insufficient connecting points for such cross border preliminary injunction, since it’s initiated exclusively against parties without residence in the Netherlands.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.Kluweriplaw.com>

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Thursday, May 2nd, 2013 at 9:07 pm and is filed under [\(Cross-border\) jurisdiction](#), [\(Indirect\) infringement](#), [Case Law](#), [Chemical Engineering](#), [Enforcement](#), [Injunction](#), [Inventive step](#), [Netherlands](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\) feed](#). Both comments and pings are currently closed.