
Kluwer Patent Blog

Danisco v. Novozymes, Court of Appeal The Hague (Gerechtshof Den Haag), 26 February 2013

Peter Burgers (Brinkhof) · Thursday, May 2nd, 2013

The Court of Appeal held that the duty to compensate the successful party's legal costs in intellectual property proceedings, pursuant to Art. 14 of the Enforcement directive, also applies to invalidity claims, counterclaims and defenses by the alleged infringing party threatened with patent enforcement. To deny such compensation in respect of nullity claims or defenses that constitute an important defense against enforcements of intellectual property rights would be contrary to Article 6 ECHR.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.Kluweriplaw.com>

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Thursday, May 2nd, 2013 at 12:48 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Damages](#), [Enforcement](#), [Netherlands](#), [Procedure](#), [Revocation](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.