
Kluwer Patent Blog

FRAND and compulsory license defense – District Court Düsseldorf refers questions on the available remedies for owners of standard essential patents to the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)

Thomas Musmann (Rospatt Osten Pross) · Tuesday, April 2nd, 2013

by Hetti Hilge

The District Court Duesseldorf stayed a case between Huawei and ZTE concerning mobile and base stations within the LTE standard and referred five question to the CJEU (docket No. 4b O 104/12). The court wants to clarify under what circumstances an infringement court has to consider a compulsory license defense in a patent dispute concerning a standard essential patent (SEP).

In its stay order the District Court notes a discrepancy between the [Orange-Book-Standard-decision](#) of the German Federal Supreme Court (BGH) and the preliminary opinion indicated by the European Commission. According to the clear, yet strict criteria established by the BGH and the subsequent German case law, a party seeking a FRAND license has to make an unconditional license offer that the patentee cannot refuse without being in breach of its obligations under competition law and has to act like a decent licensee. That means that the alleged patent infringer inter alia has to render account about its acts of use and put into escrow a sufficient amount for the license fee. Other courts in Europe applied different criteria. The European Commission even posed the question whether it would be sufficient if the party seeking a license has only shown itself to be willing to negotiate a FRAND license for a SEP. While the judges at the Duesseldorf Court criticize this concept and express the opinion that a defendant cannot avoid any liability by a potentially only tactical, dilatory and not necessarily serious conduct to the detriment of the patent owner who makes use of its granted patent right and other decent licensees, they made use of their discretion and referred the matter to the CJEU for clarification.

The German text of the District Court Duesseldorf decision of 21 March 2013 is available [here](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law


The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Tuesday, April 2nd, 2013 at 11:28 am and is filed under [\(Compulsory\) license, European Union, Germany](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\) feed](#). Both comments and pings are currently closed.