

Kluwer Patent Blog

Calcipotriol-Monohydrat, Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof), 15 May 2012

Thorsten Bausch (Hoffmann Eitle) · Friday, December 21st, 2012

In the assessment of inventive step, the question whether the prior art discloses a pointer for the skilled person to use the measures described therein, and to apply these to a known substance, could be relevant. It should be investigated whether the measures from the prior art gave rise to the expectation that the solution of the technical problem to be solved could be expected.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.KluwerIPCases.com>

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law


The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, December 21st, 2012 at 3:29 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Chemical Engineering](#), [Germany](#), [Inventive step](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.