
Kluwer Patent Blog

Solvay v. Honeywell, Court of Justice of the European Union (Court of Justice of the European Union), 12 July 2012

Peter Burgers (Brinkhof) · Monday, August 27th, 2012

The Court of Justice ruled that claims against different companies located in different Member States marketing the same product regarding infringement of a a European patent in one jurisdiction were so closely connected that they may be decided jointly to prevent irreconcilable judgments in the sense of Art. 6(1) EC 44/2001. In the present circumstances, a provisional judgment regarding the validity of a patent on the basis of Art. 31 EC 44/2001 is not prohibited by Art. 22(4) Regulation 44/2001, because it regards only a provisional finding.

Click [here](#) for the full text of this case.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.KluwerIPCases.com>

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Monday, August 27th, 2012 at 10:48 am and is filed under [\(Cross-border\) jurisdiction](#), [\(Indirect\) infringement](#), [Case Law](#), [European Union](#), [Procedure](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\) feed](#). Both comments and pings are currently closed.