

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Heidelberg Postpress / Bobst, Court of Appeal Paris (Cour d'appel Paris), 29 June 2011

Emmanuel Gougé (Pinsent Masons) · Sunday, July 29th, 2012

Regarding the gathering of evidence in French and foreign territories, the Paris Court of Appeal affirmed the appealed decision and acceded to defendant's reasoning in ruling that (i) the 'saisie-contrefaçon' carried out on French territory on the basis of two patents was not deprived of its valid basis by the revocation of one of said patents, and that (ii) an independent provisional seizure ordered and carried out on Dutch territory was valid pursuant to Article 1 of Regulation 1206/2001, even though a "saisie-contrefaçon" had already been carried out in France.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.KluwerIPCases.com>

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Sunday, July 29th, 2012 at 3:07 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [France](#), [Mechanical Engineering](#), [Procedure](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.