

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## **BPO v. AstraZeneca (II), Supreme Administrative Court (B???????? ????????????? ????), 8 December 2010**

Ivan Marinov (Delchev & Partners) · Friday, January 13th, 2012

AstraZeneca filed a request with the Patent Office (PO) for the publication in the PO's official bullet of a court judgment invalidating a previous PO's decision regarding the termination of one of AstraZeneca's patents. The PO denied AstraZeneca's request for publication due to a pending procedure for the issuance of a supplementary protection certificate (SPC) ensuing to that patent, the completion of which the PO considered as prejudicial to the publication. The Supreme Administrative Court – as the highest instance – held that the procedure was not prejudicial and obliged the PO to make the publication.

A summary of this case will be posted on <http://www.KluwerIPCases.com>.

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### **Kluwer IP Law**

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



This entry was posted on Friday, January 13th, 2012 at 4:09 pm and is filed under [Bulgaria, Case Law, Procedure](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.