
Kluwer Patent Blog

Webseitenanzeige, Federal Court of Justice (Bundesgerichtshof), 24 February 2011

Jochen Buehling (Krieger Mes Graf & v. der Groeben) · Wednesday, August 24th, 2011

The Court of Appeal discusses and builds on its previous case law on patentability regarding the issue of whether the subject matter is considered a technical invention. The Court emphasizes that it is sufficient if only part of the patented teaching concerns a technical problem. However, as the next step it has to be determined whether this aspect comprises a teaching which solves a technical problem with technical means.

Click [here](#) for the full text of this case.

A **full summary** of this case has been published on **Kluwer IP Law**.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Wednesday, August 24th, 2011 at 4:38 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Exceptions to patentability](#), [Germany](#), [Validity](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.