
Kluwer Patent Blog

T1680/08 determining airway pressure levels, European Patent Office (EPO Board of Appeal), 8 June 2011

Lars de Haas (V.O.) · Saturday, July 30th, 2011

Faced with a claim directed at a method for determining airway pressure levels, the Board isolated a step from the claim that required changing the airway pressure of an artificial ventilator to observe certain responses. The Board found that this step could not be distinguished from what a medical doctor would do in order to adapt an artificial ventilator to any given patient. As the latter had the therapeutic effect of keeping the patient alive, the claim was excluded from patentability under article 53 EPC as a method of treatment by therapy.

Click [here](#) for the full text of this case.

A **full summary** of this case has been published on **Kluwer IP Law**.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer
Leading change

This entry was posted on Saturday, July 30th, 2011 at 5:40 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Exceptions to patentability](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.