

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Eli Lilly v. Ratiopharm, District Court Milan (Tribunale di Milano), 11 June 2009

Daniela Ampollini (Trevisan & Cuonzo) · Monday, January 31st, 2011

The mere filing of an application for marketing authorization of a generic drug does not constitute an infringing activity.,

although of a preparatory nature as, aAlthough it is true that the filing of such an application may constitute the basis for the marketing of the drug, there still is the possibility that the eventual act of marketing of the drug will not occur in practice. Therefore the mere filing of an application does not constitute an infringing act.

A **full summary** of this case has been published on **Kluwer IP Law**.

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how **Kluwer IP Law** can support you.

---

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change

This entry was posted on Monday, January 31st, 2011 at 4:19 pm and is filed under [Biologics](#), [Case Law](#), [Extent of Protection](#), [Italy](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.