Kluwer Patent Blog

Monsanto v. Cefetra, European Court of Justice, 6 July 2010

Peter Burgers (Brinkhof) · Monday, July 19th, 2010

The European Court of Justice held that Article 9 of the Biotech Directive (98/44/EC) does not confer patent protection to genetic information that does not (anymore) perform its function for which it is patented (in the case at hand the DNA present in soy meal).

In addition, the Court held that Article 9 Directive provides an exhaustive harmonization of the patent protection of genetic information and therefore precludes national patent legislation to confer absolute protection to genetic information, and precludes patent holders of patents on genetic information granted prior to the adoption of the Directive from relying on such absolute protection conferred by then-applicable national laws.

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer

Leading change



This entry was posted on Monday, July 19th, 2010 at 1:46 pm and is filed under Biologics, Case Law, European Union, Scope of protection

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.