## **Kluwer Patent Blog**

## German Federal Supreme Court strengthens the significance of EPO's and foreign courts' decisions

Thomas Musmann (Rospatt Osten Pross) · Tuesday, July 6th, 2010

The German Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof) has recently made clear that every court has to take into account preceding decisions of the European Patent Office (EPO) and of courts of other contracting states to the European Patent Convention (EPC) if these decisions essentially concern the same questions. Although there is no principle of precedence in Germany – neither in respect of German nor of foreign decisions –, the recent ruling of the Federal Supreme Court (Bundesgerichtshof, 15 April 2010, Xa ZB 10/09 – "Walzenformgebungsmaschine") requires more than just regarding other decisions with favour. Every court has the obligation to deal with the arguments brought forward in other – German, EPO or foreign – decisions.

In this particular case, the Supreme Court ruled that the courts have to discuss all arguments brought forward in other decisions irrespective whether they refer to points of fact or of law. In particular, the Supreme Court stressed out this does also apply to the question whether the matter of a protective right has been obvious compared to prior art. The court reasoned that decisions of the EPO and other courts should be taken into account to fortify legal certainty and to further the harmonisation of jurisdiction under the EPC. But the court also made clear that this does not require courts to follow previous decisions if their reasoning does not agree after a profound examination.

In summary, this is a straight progress from earlier judgements taking into account other decisions as an expert's opinion concerning the facts of the case. Now, also points of law examined previously need to be addressed by and will be relevant for later decisions as far as the same legal questions are to be answered. Although this does not require the courts to deal with all arguments in writing, it must be clear from the decision that all relevant arguments have been considered.

Markus Lenßen

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

## Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer



This entry was posted on Tuesday, July 6th, 2010 at 4:40 pm and is filed under Germany, Procedure You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.