Kluwer Patent Blog

Dr Reddy's v. Eli Lilly, Court of Appeal Civil Division (Court of Appeal Civil Division), 18 December 2009

Robert Burrows (Bristows) · Friday, December 18th, 2009 · Landmark European Patent Cases

The case concerned the validity of a 'selection patent'. The Court of Appeal held that there is no special approach to be adopted in determining the validity of selection patents and that UK law should be consistent with EPO jurisprudence. For novelty, a prior disclosure of a large class of compounds does not take away the novelty of a claim to a specific compound unless the prior art provides an 'individualised description' of the compound claimed. For inventive step, the normal rules apply. The Court of Appeal clarified that a selection which makes a real technical advance (rather than a mere arbitrary selection) is patentable.

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

1

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law. The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change

This entry was posted on Friday, December 18th, 2009 at 8:28 am and is filed under Biologics, Case Law, Inventive step, Novelty, United Kingdom, Validity

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.