

---

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Actavis v. OCNL, District Court The Hague (Rechtbank Den Haag), 23 September 2009

Peter Burgers (Brinkhof) · Thursday, September 3rd, 2009

According to the District Court of The Hague, Administrative division, Article 19 (2) of the SPC Regulation on medicinal products prohibits the ‘opposition’ in a national procedure by a third party against the grant of a pediatric extension of the duration of a Supplementary Protection Certificate. Third parties may submit an application for revocation of the extension of the duration to the body responsible under national law for the revocation of the corresponding basic patent on the basis of Article 16 (2) of the SPC Regulation.

The [full summary](#) of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please [subscribe here](#).*

### Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.

---


79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.**

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



2022 SURVEY REPORT  
The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer  
Leading change



This entry was posted on Thursday, September 3rd, 2009 at 5:46 am and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Chemical Engineering](#), [Netherlands](#), [Opposition](#), [Revocation](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.