

## Patent case: SIPCO LLC v. Emerson Electric Co., USA

Kluwer Patent Blog  
February 3, 2020

Brian Craig

Please refer to this post as: Brian Craig, 'Patent case: SIPCO LLC v. Emerson Electric Co., USA', Kluwer Patent Blog, February 3, 2020, <http://patentblog.kluweriplaw.com/2020/02/03/patent-case-sipco-llc-v-emerson-electric-co-usa/>

---

The Board's inter partes review decision declaring unpatentable a system that monitors and controls remote devices was reversed.

Based on improper claim construction, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has reversed a decision by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board declaring a patent system that monitors and controls remote devices as unpatentable. In reversing the Board's decision that the patent is unpatentable for anticipation and obviousness in light of prior art, the Federal Circuit concluded that the Board erred in its construction of the claim term "scalable address." The Federal Circuit construed the language consistently with the protocol described in the specification (SIPCO, LLC v. Emerson Electric Co., December 20, 2019, Moore, K.).

Case date: 20 December 2019

Case number: No. 18-1856

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).