
Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Henny Penny Corporation v. Frymaster LLC, USA

Cheryl Beise (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Friday, September 27th, 2019

The Board properly found that a person skilled in the art would not be motivated to combine two prior art references and that industry praise supported a finding of nonobviousness.

Substantial evidence supported the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's determination following inter partes review that a patent owned by Frymaster LLC for measuring cooking oil degradation in a deep fryer using a total polar materials (TPMs) sensor was not unpatentable as obvious, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held. The Board did not err in finding that additional complexity and decreased efficiency would have dissuaded a skilled artisan from integrating a prior art TPM sensor into a prior art apparatus for analyzing oil quality. Nonobviousness was also supported by evidence of industry praise highlighting the integrated innovative oil quality sensor in Frymaster's electric fryers. Finally, the Board did not abuse its discretion by declining to consider an untimely argument made by the petitioner (Henny Penny Corporation v. Frymaster LLC, September 12, 2019, Lourie, A.).

Case date: 12 September 2019

Case number: No. 2108-1596

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions

36,000+ cases

100+ books

600+ IP law professionals as authors



This entry was posted on Friday, September 27th, 2019 at 9:50 am and is filed under [Case Law, United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.