
Kluwer Patent Blog

Netherlands: CDVI SA v. Impro Technologies Europe B.V.,

Bart van Wezenbeek (Millipede) · Saturday, January 12th, 2019

The court solved the question of inventive step using the problem-solution approach, defining the objective technical problem without including a pointer to the solution of the problem. This, however, did not help the patentee, since the solution to the problem was already to be found in the common general knowledge and was used in similar ways in at least three different prior art documents relating to the same field of technology. Consequently, the patent was held invalid.

Case date: 21 November 2018

Case number: C/09/542719 / HA ZA 17-1170ECLI:NL:RBDHA:2018:13746

Court: District Court of The Hague


A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Kluwer IP Law

The **2021 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 81% of the law firms expect to view technology as an important investment in their future ability to thrive. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how [Kluwer IP Law](#) can support you.



Kluwer IP Law



This entry was posted on Saturday, January 12th, 2019 at 4:38 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Inventive step](#), [Netherlands](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.