## **Kluwer Patent Blog**

## Patent Case: Synchronmotor, Germany

Volker Tillmann (Hoffmann Eitle) · Sunday, December 9th, 2018

The FCJ confirmed that inventive step is to be acknowledged if the feature(s) distinguishing the claimed invention from the starting point for the assessment of inventive step are not directly and unambiguously derivable or at least rendered obvious by the prior art. This applies equally to functional features.

Case number: X ZR 51/06 Case date: 29 May 2018 Court: Federal Court of Justice of Germany

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

## **Kluwer IP Law**

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

**Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.** The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.





2022 SURVEY REPORT The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer Leading change

This entry was posted on Sunday, December 9th, 2018 at 11:09 pm and is filed under Case Law, Germany, Inventive step

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.