

Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent Case: Tomra v. Kiremko, The Netherlands

Bart van Wezenbeek (Millipede) · Saturday, December 8th, 2018

The Court confirmed that a District court, not specialised in patent matters, does have relative jurisdiction to decide a motion to produce exhibits for determining patent infringement. In order to positively decide a motion to produce exhibits, (threat of) infringement should be made plausible, but the threshold for plausibility is relatively low. Further, technical details of products that are on the market may still be considered to be trade secrets.

Case number: NL 17.11739

Case date: 02 November 2018

Court: District Court of Midden-Nederland

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).



Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser - Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions
36,000+ cases
100+ books
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now
KluwerIPLaw.com

Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Saturday, December 8th, 2018 at 5:28 pm and is filed under [Case Law](#), [Infringement](#), [Netherlands](#), [Plausibility](#), [Trade secrets](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.