

# Kluwer Patent Blog

## Patent case: Tongeber (Sound Generator), Germany

Roland Schieren (Hoffmann Eitle) · Thursday, September 20th, 2018

The FCJ confirmed that, when formulating the problem as a starting point for assessing inventive step, it is not permissible to narrow the problem by referring to prior art not cited in the patent.

The patent relates to a sound generator, in particular for parking assistance systems for vehicles. The sound generator is equipped with a housing comprising a base part and a top part. The base part has a receiving space, which can be covered by the top part, for receiving a diaphragm.

Case date: 17 April 2018

Case number: X ZR 56/16

Court: Federal Court of Justice of Germany

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#).

---

*To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).*



Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser – Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions  
36,000+ cases  
100+ books  
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now  
[KluwerIPLaw.com](http://KluwerIPLaw.com)

 Wolters Kluwer

---

This entry was posted on Thursday, September 20th, 2018 at 6:10 pm and is filed under [Case Law, Germany](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.