Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Ultrasonic Transducer, Germany

Axel T. Esser · Sunday, August 12th, 2018

The FCJ confirmed that the cited prior art should, generally, provide concrete suggestions, hints or at least provide other reasons beyond the recognisability of the technical problem to seek the solution to a technical problem in the way as presented in the patent. The decision by the FCJ also confirms that if an attack on patentability based on a public prior use is to be successful, it is critical that the plaintiff makes a conclusive submission, i.e. a submission that commands that the claim is invalid if all the asserted facts are assumed to be true.

Case date: 20 March 2018 Case number: X ZR 4/16

Court: Federal Court of Justice of Germany

A full summary of this case has been published on Kluwer IP Law.

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe here.

Kluwer IP Law

The **2022 Future Ready Lawyer survey** showed that 79% of lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year. With Kluwer IP Law you can navigate the increasingly global practice of IP law with specialized, local and cross-border information and tools from every preferred location. Are you, as an IP professional, ready for the future?

Learn how Kluwer IP Law can support you.

79% of the lawyers think that the importance of legal technology will increase for next year.

Drive change with Kluwer IP Law.

The master resource for Intellectual Property rights and registration.



The Wolters Kluwer Future Ready Lawyer

Leading change

👀 Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Sunday, August 12th, 2018 at 7:04 am and is filed under Case Law, Germany

You can follow any responses to this entry through the Comments (RSS) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.