

Kluwer Patent Blog

Patent case: Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Google LLC, USA

Thomas Long (Wolters Kluwer Legal & Regulatory US) · Tuesday, March 6th, 2018

The Patent Trial and Appeal Board correctly determined that all 79 claims of a patent related to a computerized method for identifying and substituting information in an electronic document were invalid for obviousness, the Federal Circuit has held. Although the Board erred in its analysis by declining to apply a prosecution disclaimer limiting the patent's claims such that they excluded those of a prior art patent, the court affirmed the Board's determination based on its alternative holding that, even if the prosecution history disclaimer were accepted, the claims at issue still were unpatentable for obviousness (*Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Google LLC*, February 20, 2018, Newman, P.).

Case date: 20 February 2018

Case number: No. 2016-1249

Court: United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit

A full summary of this case has been published on [Kluwer IP Law](#)

To make sure you do not miss out on regular updates from the Kluwer Patent Blog, please subscribe [here](#).

Want to improve your IP strategy?

- Manual of Industrial Property
- IP Analytics
- Visser - Annotated European Patent Convention

230+ jurisdictions
36,000+ cases
100+ books
600+ IP law professionals as authors

Request a free demo now
KluwerIPLaw.com

Wolters Kluwer

This entry was posted on Tuesday, March 6th, 2018 at 5:13 am and is filed under [Case Law, United States of America](#)

You can follow any responses to this entry through the [Comments \(RSS\)](#) feed. Both comments and pings are currently closed.